

**To:** Matlock, Dennis[Matlock.Dennis@epa.gov]  
**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Fri 1/10/2014 12:09:15 PM  
**Subject:** Re: Elk River chemical spill

Can you ask Denise to give us the authorization to go?  
I'm fairly certain that she is in the office at 7am.

> On Jan 10, 2014, at 6:12 AM, "Matlock, Dennis" <Matlock.Dennis@epa.gov> wrote:

>

> thx..at this point why dont you plan on heading to the spill location. if Gene can be there great. Gene is right that water to communities will be greatest concern. Not much we can do about that. I'm sure we will get asked about the plume or dispersion of the estimated 5k of chemical. I think Dupont and others may be assisting in sampling analysis.

>

> From: [REDACTED]@TechLawInc.com>

> Sent: Friday, January 10, 2014 12:22 AM

> To: Matlock, Dennis

> Subject: Fwd: Elk River chemical spill

>

> Just more info for you, regarding sampling. Gene is on it... Please see [REDACTED] latest input below...

>

> Didn't want to bother you all night, but we are obviously concerned.

>

> We're all signing off, thanks.

>

>

> Begin forwarded message:

>

> From: [REDACTED]@TechLawInc.com<mailto:[REDACTED]@TechLawInc.com>>

> Date: January 10, 2014 at 12:12:37 AM EST

> To: [REDACTED]@TechLawInc.com<mailto:[REDACTED]@TechLawInc.com>>, [REDACTED]

> [REDACTED]@TechLawInc.com<mailto:[REDACTED]@TechLawInc.com>>

> Cc: [REDACTED]@TechLawInc.com<mailto:[REDACTED]@TechLawInc.com>>

> Subject: RE: Elk River chemical spill

>

> Great concerns...Thanks [REDACTED] I would imagine ORSANCO will be assisting, but more from the source water quality perspective. They may have some insight on analysis. Good night.

>

> From: [REDACTED]

> Sent: Friday, January 10, 2014 12:09 AM

> To: [REDACTED]

> CC: [REDACTED]

> Subject: RE: Elk River chemical spill

>

>

> The chemical is not on the CLP TCL and I doubt any lab routinely analyzes for it. I checked CAS analytical Lab and they don't appear to do it. It would likely show up as a TIC on SVOA or possibly VOA analyses. However, that only gives a 'tentative' identification and a very generally estimated concentration. That won't cut it for human health. They may have to have a government lab set up to analyze or maybe the facility can, but that would be a conflict of interest. I'll call Dan Slizys early tomorrow and put out some feelers.

>

> I'm signing off, but please call if we get the 'go'. Sounds like the biggest task will be providing water for all those counties.

>

**To:** Matlock, Dennis[Matlock.Dennis@epa.gov]  
**From:** [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]  
**Sent:** Fri 1/10/2014 12:09:15 PM  
**Subject:** Re: Elk River chemical spill

Can you ask Denise to give us the authorization to go?  
I'm fairly certain that she is in the office at 7am.

> On Jan 10, 2014, at 6:12 AM, "Matlock, Dennis" <Matlock.Dennis@epa.gov> wrote:

>

> thx..at this point why dont you plan on heading to the spill location. if Gene can be there great. Gene is right that water to communities will be greatest concern. Not much we can do about that. I'm sure we will get asked about the plume or dispersion of the estimated 5k of chemical. I think Dupont and others may be assisting in sampling analysis.

>

> From: [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]@TechLawInc.com>

> Sent: Friday, January 10, 2014 12:22 AM

> To: Matlock, Dennis

> Subject: Fwd: Elk River chemical spill

>

> Just more info for you, regarding sampling. [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] is on it... Please see [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]'s latest input below...

>

> Didn't want to bother you all night, but we are obviously concerned.

>

> We're all signing off, thanks.

>

>

> Begin forwarded message:

>

> From: "[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]@TechLawInc.com<mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]@TechLawInc.com>>"

> Date: January 10, 2014 at 12:12:37 AM EST

> To: "[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]@TechLawInc.com<mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]@TechLawInc.com>>", "[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]

> [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]@TechLawInc.com<mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]@TechLawInc.com>>"

> Cc: "[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]@TechLawInc.com<mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]@TechLawInc.com>>"

> Subject: RE: Elk River chemical spill

>

> Great concerns...Thanks [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] I would imagine ORSANCO will be assisting, but more from the source water quality perspective. They may have some insight on analysis. Good night.

>

> From: [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]

> Sent: Friday, January 10, 2014 12:09 AM

> To: [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]

> Cc: H [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]

> Subject: RE: Elk River chemical spill

>

>

> The chemical is not on the CLP TCL and I doubt any lab routinely analyzes for it. I checked CAS analytical Lab and they don't appear to do it. It would likely show up as a TIC on SVOA or possibly VOA analyses. However, that only gives a 'tentative' identification and a very generally estimated concentration. That won't cut it for human health. They may have to have a government lab set up to analyze or maybe the facility can, but that would be a conflict of interest. I'll call Dan Slizys early tomorrow and put out some feelers.

>

> I'm signing off, but please call if we get the 'go'. Sounds like the biggest task will be providing water for all those counties.

>

> [REDACTED] Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

> TechLaw, Inc.

> [REDACTED] (office)

> [REDACTED] (mobile)

> Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy  
> TechLaw, Inc.  
> Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy (office)  
> Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy (mobile)